RESEARCH Open Access

Decomposing the urban-rural inequalities in the utilisation of maternal health care services: evidence from 27 selected countries in Sub-Saharan Africa



Oduse Samuel*, Temesgen Zewotir and Delia North

Abstract

Background: There has been a substantial improvement in reducing maternal mortality in the Sub-Saharan African region. The vast rural-urban gap in maternal health outcomes, however, is obscured by this average achievement. This study attempts to measure the contribution of identified risk factors to describe the average rural-urban difference in the use of antenatal care, health facilities for delivery, and health professional assistance at delivery.

Method: To achieve this objective, we used descriptive analysis and Fairlie non-linear decomposition method to quantify covariates' contribution in explaining the urban–rural difference in maternal healthcare services utilisation.

Result: The study's finding shows much difference between urban and rural areas in the use of maternal health-care services. Socio-economic factors such as household wealth index, exposure to media, and educational level of women and their husbands/partners contributed the most in explaining the gap between urban and rural areas in healthcare services utilisation.

Conclusions: Interventions to bridge the gap between urban and rural areas in maternal healthcare services utilisation in Sub-Saharan Africa should be centred towards socio-economic empowerment. Government can enforce targeted awareness campaigns to encourage women in rural communities in Sub-Sharan Africa to take the opportunity and use the available maternal health care services to be at par with their counterparts in urban areas.

Plain Language summary

Maternal health refers to the health of women throughout pregnancy, delivery, and the postnatal period. Each step should be a good experience that ensures mothers, and their infants realize their maximum health and well-being potential. In this study, we used individual, demographic, and socio-economic characteristics to measure the urban–rural discrepancies in maternal health care services in Sub-Saharan Africa. We used Information of 220 164 women of child-bearing age (15–49) gathered from National Demographic Health Surveys from 27 countries in the Sub-Sahara African region. We found 46.1% of women in rural areas had no education, 39.7% of the women in rural areas have husbands/partners with no education, and 60.1% of the women in rural areas are from households with poor wealth indexes. The use of maternal health care services found to be predominant in the urban areas than rural areas, and the

*Correspondence: 213571335@stu.ukzn.ac.za School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban 4001, South Africa



Samuel et al. Reprod Health (2021) 18:216 Page 2 of 12

measure of this difference can inform policymakers on the level of effort that needed to be put in place to balance the discrepancies and improve maternal health in general.

Keywords: Antenatal care, Delivery place, Fairlie decomposition, Healthcare Health professionals, Pregnancy, Sustainable development goal

Introduction

Maternal and child health care are among the Sustainable development Goals [1]. Countries are making efforts to achieve this target by creating policies to reduce deaths due to pregnancy complications and childbirth complications. According to the United Nations interagency figures, the global maternal mortality ratio decreased by 38% from 342 deaths to 211 deaths per 100,000 live births between 2000 and 2017 [2]. In 2017 alone, Sub-Saharan Africa accounted for about 66% (254,000) of the estimated global maternal deaths (196,000) [3]. The increased rates of maternal and neonatal mortality in the Sub-Saharan African region are primarily due to the inadequate health care facilities and accessibility of the health care service or even the lack of utilisation of available health facilities for delivery by pregnant women for reasons such as religious beliefs, cultural customs or lack of funds to access the health care services [4].

Maternal health care utilisation remains the priority in decreasing the risk of diseases, haemorrhage, and death from pregnancy and childbirth complications [5]. Antenatal and delivery care are both vital to maternal and infant wellbeing. Appropriate maternal health care can undoubtedly avoid adverse maternal and new born pregnancy outcomes, mostly by preventive intervention or successful management [6]. Health professionals commonly advise that antenatal visits commence early in pregnancy and proceed at frequent intervals during pregnancy to reduce possible pregnancy risks [7]. Also, mothers need to deliver their babies in hygienic conditions with adequate equipment and materials and in the presence of a trained health worker to minimise the risk of infections and ensure that these professionals adequately handle any complications that may arise. The postnatal period which is the period shortly after giving birth is also a risky period for both mother and child. The period has a higher risk of mortality; therefore, adequate postnatal care is critical in reducing mortality and improving quality of life [8].

Despite overwhelming evidence from the literature on the positive effect of maternal health care services in mitigating the risk of deaths due to pregnancy and childbirth complications, most developing countries continue to record low access to these services [9–11]. Facility-based delivery has been proven to be an essential

factor in preventing maternal death. Yet, a significant number of women in Sub-Saharan Africa still deliver at home without health professionals' assistance [7, 12, 13].

In both industrialized and developing nations, a large body of literature has documented the disproportionate usage of maternal health care services among women of various socioeconomic levels, and rural-urban residences [14–17]. Women from higher socioeconomic classes are more likely to utilize healthcare facilities, and over the years, the economic inequality in the use of healthcare services has widen [18–20]. Despite overwhelming evidence of geographical and economic disparities in maternal health, not much Information is available on the urban–rural differences in maternal health care services. Furthermore, the impact of various factors in determining the rural-urban disparity in maternal health care services usage has not yet been fully investigated.

Several factors contribute to women's better health in urban settings. Women in urban regions have easier access to health facilities and maternal health intervention programs [21–23]. The makeup of the urban population in terms of wealth index, educational status, and other socioeconomic characteristics may also have a beneficial impact on the use of maternal health care services [24–26]. Research in Sub-Saharan Africa has revealed that women in urban regions utilize maternal health care more. Still, the literature on urban–rural disparities in the usage and the role of various factors in determining these discrepancies is sparse.

This study, therefore, examines the urban-rural gap in maternal health care services utilisation across Sub-Saharan Africa. More specifically, the study seeks to identify the factors responsible for the urban-rural difference in maternal health care services use and quantify their contribution to the gap by using an extension of the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition technique to explain the differences in outcomes between the urban and rural population groups. Knowledge of the identified factors can help policymakers bridge the urban-rural gap in utilising maternal health care services.

Methods

Data source

This study's data are data collected from Demographic and Health surveys (DHS) in 27 Sub-Saharan African

Samuel et al. Reprod Health (2021) 18:216 Page 3 of 12

countries. Figure 1 gives the geographical map of the countries in the survey showing their proximity to each other and the bubble size indicating the sample size from each of the 27 countries. The sampling design of the DHS across all 27 countries was uniform, and the sample was the national representative of the individual countries. Each DHS used a two-stage stratified cluster sampling where enumeration areas were first drawn from the latest population census mapping. Then, the household samples were taken from each enumeration area. In all 27 countries, the surveys are conducted by trained interviewers using standardised questionnaires.

Outcome variables

This study considered antenatal care, place of delivery, and professional assistance at delivery as outcomes variables. We define antenatal care as having at least four visits to a health professional by a woman after gestation for medical assessment. Her first visit must be within the first trimester of her pregnancy. The place of delivery in this study is defined as the location where a woman gives birth. Either she gives birth at home or at a health facility. We also define professional assistance at delivery as a woman being assisted by a health professional or not at the point of her delivery. By health professional, we mean a doctor, nurse, or auxiliary nurse. Since our outcomes were extracted from women's Information on their birth histories, we based on the most recent births within 5 years before the interview to avoid recall bias.

Independent variables

The selection of independent variables used in the analysis related to the urban-rural differences in utilisation of maternal health care services was guided by literature [27-29] and included maternal characteristics such as; maternal age group (15-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35+), maternal age at first marriage (never married, <18, 18-24, 25+), number of children ever born (1, 2, 3, 4, or 5+), wanted pregnancy (then, later, or no more), and current method of family planning (none, modern, traditional or others). Child characteristics also were included; these are; child's birth order (first, second, third, fourth, fifth, or higher) and length of preceding birth (first birth, < 24 months, 24-59 months, or 60+ months). Included as independent variable also are socio-economic characteristics such as; religion (Muslim, Christian, or Others), woman's current marital status (never married, married/living together or formerly in union), marriage or cohabitation duration (never married, 0 to 4, 5 to 9, 10 to 14, or 15+ years), sex of household head (male or female), age of husband/ partner (15-24, 25-29, 30-34, or 35+ years), partner's educational level (no education, primary, secondary, or higher), number of other wives (never married, no other wives, or husband has other wives), type of toilet facility (no facility, flush toilet, pit toilet latrine or others), The primary source of drinking water (piped water, well water, surface water, or other sources), woman's Highest educational level (no education, primary, secondary, or



Samuel et al. Reprod Health (2021) 18:216 Page 4 of 12

higher), currently working (no or yes), household wealth index (poor, middle, or rich), media exposure (unexposed or exposed), final say on woman's health care (woman alone, woman and husband/partner, husband/partner, or someone else). As a geographical indicator, the country from which a woman comes was included as an independent variable. The independent variables used in the analysis are essential in predicting the outcomes and indicating where the urban–rural differences are in maternal healthcare services use.

Statistical analysis

We begin our analysis by giving descriptive statistics to analyse the proportions of maternal healthcare utilisation (Antenatal care, place of delivery, and professional assistance at delivery) and urban–rural settlement across the independent variables. urban–rural differences in the independent variables were examined using the Chisquare test.

The Blinder Oaxaca decomposition has been widely utilized in economics and health in recent decades to discover and measure disparities between two groups (Blinder 1973; Oaxaca 1973). Ordinary least squares are used in this approach to decompose the difference in mean outcomes between two groups by using their additive separability. This method, however, is inapplicable when the outcome variable is binary, as with our model in this paper. As a result, we adopted a binary-model-appropriate version of the Blinder-Oaxaca approach (Fairlie [30]) to decompose the rural-urban disparity in the utilization of maternal health care services [30, 31]. The Fairlie technique entails decomposing the disparity between the mean of predicted probability instead of the mean of outcomes as in the Blinder-Oaxaca approach.

The Fairlie decomposition uses the Logit regression estimates and given below is the mathematical expression for the Fairlie decomposition.

 $\overset{-U}{Y} - \overset{-V}{Y} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N^U} \frac{F\left(X_i^U \widehat{\beta}^U\right)}{N^U} - \sum_{i=1}^{N^R} \frac{F\left(X_i^R \widehat{\beta}^U\right)}{N^R} \right] + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N^R} \frac{F\left(X_i^R \widehat{\beta}^U\right)}{N^R} - \sum_{i=1}^{N^R} \frac{F\left(X_i^R \widehat{\beta}^R\right)}{N^R} \right]$

The first term in brackets represents the urbanrural gap in maternal healthcare utilisation due to the independent factors. The second term describes the urban-rural differences due to unmeasured factors. Details of this mathematical expression can be found in other literature [32].

This study carried out all statistical analyses using Stata version 15 (StataCorp. College Station, TX, USA). The decomposition analysis was implemented using the

Fairlie package that supports non-linear decomposition for binary dependent variables [33].

Result

Descriptive results

Table 1 provides summary statistics for the variables used in this study. From the result, 46.1% of women in a rural area had no education against 23.6% of women in an urban area. Similarly, 39.7% of the women in rural areas have husbands/partners with no education, against 19.8% in an urban area. Also, 60.1% of the women in rural areas are from households with poor wealth index, while only 16.0% of women in urban areas are from households with poor wealth indexes. Most women in rural areas (63.6%) are not exposed to media, while only 32.5% of women in urban areas are unexposed to media.

Table 2 demonstrates the discrepancies between urban and rural women in utilising maternal health care services across all covariates. In urban areas, antenatal care utilisation was greater than in rural were about 34.7 per cent of women from urban areas received antenatal care against 22.4 per cent of women in rural areas. Similarly, about 83.2 per cent of women in urban settlement gave birth to their last child at a health facility, while for women in a rural settlement, it was 58.2 per cent. Regarding professional assistance at delivery, women in rural areas were likewise disadvantaged, having 68.9 per cent of women delivering their last child with professional assistance. In comparison, for women in urban areas, 89.8 per cent of them gave birth to their last child with a health professional's assistance.

Furthermore, Table 2 indicates that all the assessed characteristics are related to maternal health services in urban and rural areas. Maternal age was associated with antenatal care, delivery place, and professional assistance at delivery. The prevalence of these health care services' use was more among older women than the younger women in urban and rural areas.

The woman's educational level was associated with the use of health care services. About 55 per cent of urban women having secondary or higher education attended at least four antenatal care visits, with the first visit within the first trimester. Only about 24 per cent of women in rural areas with such education levels attended at least four antenatal visits. About 52 per cent of the women of urban regions that attained a secondary or higher educational level delivered their last child at a health facility.

Samuel et al. Reprod Health (2021) 18:216 Page 5 of 12

Table 1 The distribution of the selected characteristics by resident area

Covariates Urban Rural n (%) n (%) Maternal age group 15-19 4661 (6.8) 11954 (7.9) 20-24 15725 (22.9) 34290 (22.7) 25-29 18707 (27.2) 37454 (24.7) 30-34 14419 (21.0) 29819 (19.7) 35 +15271 (22.2) 37864 (25.0) Maternal age at first marriage Never married 7431 (10.8) 8957 (5.9) < 18 23875 (34.7) 76775 (50.7) 18-24 29719 (43.2) 57466 (38.0) 25+ 7758 (11.3) 8183 (5.4) Number children ever born 17514 (25.5) 27703 (18.3) 2 15300 (22.2) 25648 (16.9) 3 11728 (17.1) 22859 (15.1) 4 8533 (12.4) 19793 (13.1) 5+ 15708 (22.8) 55378 (36.6) Wanted pregnancy Then 48775 (70.9) 112598 (74.4) 15581 (22.7) 29030 (19.2) Later No more 4427 (6.4) 9753 (6.4) Current method of family planning None 43220 (62.8) 111051 (73.4) Modern 22458 (32.7) 36175 (23.9) Traditional 2802 (4.1) 3634 (2.4) Others 521 (0.3) 303 (0.4) Child's birth order First 17514 (25.5) 27703 (18.3) Second 15300 (22.2) 25648 (16.9) Third 11728 (17.1) 22859 (15.1) Fourth 8533 (12.4) 19793 (13.1) Fifth 5724 (8.3) 16349 (10.8) 39029 (25.8) Higher 9984 (14.5) Length of preceding birth First birth 17514 (25.5) 27703 (18.3) < 24 months 8110 (11.8) 20962 (13.8) 24-59 months 31974 (46.5) 85706 (56.6) 60+ months 11185 (16.3) 17010 (11.2) Religion Muslim 19746 (28.7) 44907 (29.7) Christian 41638 (60.5) 87071 (57.5) Others 7399 (10.8) 19403 (12.8) Woman's current marital status Never married 7431 (10.8) 8957 (5.9) Married or living together 55592 (80.8) 131824 (87.1) Formerly in union 5760 (8.4) 10600 (7.0) Marital or cohabitation duration Never married 7431 (10.8) 8957 (5.9)

Table 1 (continued)

Covariates	Urban	Rural		
	n (%)	n (%)		
0 to 4	16705 (24.3)	29873 (19.7)		
5 to 9	17670 (25.7)	36776 (24.3)		
10 to 14	12422 (18.1)	30992 (20.5)		
15+	14555 (21.2)	44783 (29.6)		
Sex of household head				
Male	51774 (75.3)	122164 (80.7)		
Female	17009 (24.7)	29217 (19.3)		
Age of husband/partner				
15–24	4171 (6.1)	11196 (7.4)		
25–29	12455 (18.1)	26482 (17.5)		
30–34	15268 (22.2)	30192 (19.9)		
35+	36889 (53.6)	83511 (55.2)		
Partner's educational level				
No education	13642 (19.8)	60124 (39.7)		
Primary	16230 (23.6)	53262 (35.2)		
Secondary	29600 (43.0)	33650 (22.2)		
Higher	9311 (13.5)	4345 (2.9)		
Number of other wives	(,	(=)		
Never married	7431 (10.8)	8957 (5.9)		
No other wives	52148 (75.8)	108004 (71.3)		
Husband has other wives	9204 (13.4)	34420 (22.7)		
Type of toilet facility	720 1 (13.1)	3 20 (22.7)		
No facility	7911 (11.5)	54979 (36.3)		
Flush toilet	20416 (29.7)	5158 (3.4)		
Pit toilet latrine	39217 (57.0)	90053 (59.5)		
Others	1239 (1.8)	1191 (0.8)		
The primary source of drinking water	1237 (1.0)	(0.0)		
Piped water	39452 (57.4)	25779 (17.0)		
Well water	18912 (27.5)	80826 (53.4)		
Surface water	5374 (7.8)	42057 (27.8)		
Other sources	5045 (7.3)	2719 (1.8)		
Woman's Highest educational level	30 13 (7.3)	2715 (1.0)		
No education	16246 (23.6)	69728 (46.1)		
Primary	20015 (29.1)	56007 (37.0)		
Secondary	27056 (39.3)	23786 (15.7)		
Higher	5466 (7.9)	1860 (1.2)		
Currently working	5400 (7.5)	1000 (1.2)		
No	26500 (38.5)	54654 (36.1)		
Yes	42283 (61.5)	96727 (63.9)		
Household wealth index	42203 (01.3)	20727 (03.2)		
Poor	10988 (16.0)	00055 (60.1)		
Middle	8052 (11.7)	90955 (60.1) 27185 (18.0)		
Rich	49743 (72.3)			
	47/43 (/2.3)	33241 (22.0)		
Media Exposure	22241 /22 5	06216 (62.6)		
Unexposed	22341 (32.5)	96216 (63.6)		
Exposed	46442 (67.5)	55165 (36.4)		
Final say on woman's health care	10000 (0.15)	10000 (15.11		
Woman alone	10260 (14.9)	18620 (12.3)		

Samuel et al. Reprod Health (2021) 18:216 Page 6 of 12

Table 1 (continued)

Covariates	Urban	Rural	
	n (%)	n (%)	
Woman and husband/partner	33006 (48.0)	64485 (42.6)	
Husband/partner	25188 (36.6)	67246 (44.4)	
Someone else	329 (0.5)	1030 (0.7)	

In comparison, only about 24 per cent of women had the same educational level and gave birth to their last child at a health facility. Similarly, about 50 per cent of the women from urban settlements who gave birth with health professionals' assistance had secondary or higher education. In comparison, only about 21 per cent of women from the rural area that a health professional assisted at delivery have secondary or higher education.

Women that are working utilise health care services more than women that are not working; this was true for both urban and rural settlements, as seen in Table 3, where the percentage of those that use health care services were all higher among those working. The use of health care services was more among women who joined with their husband or partner to have the final say on their health care across all outcomes, both for rural and urban cases than for any other group.

Decomposition result

Table 3 presents a summary of the decomposition analysis for the rural-urban gap in the use of maternal healthcare services (antenatal care, delivery place, and professional assistance at delivery). The rural-urban disparity in health care services use was 12.2 per cent for antenatal care, 25 per cent for the delivery place, and 20.9 per cent for professional assistance at delivery. The differences in the covariates included in our analysis explained about 75 per cent of the urban-rural disparity in antenatal care utilisation. Similarly, the covariates' difference explained about 79 per cent of the urban-rural gap in the use of health facility for delivery. Likewise, these covariates explained about 82 per cent of the disparity in professional assistance at delivery utilisation.

Table 4 shows the detailed decomposition of individual characteristics' contribution to urban—rural inequality in maternal health care utilisation. A negative contribution will suggest that the specific variable eliminates the urban—rural difference in maternal healthcare services use and vice versa. Household wealth index, mother's education, husband/partners education, media exposure, and childbirth order were among the top contributors to the average urban—rural disparity in the use of all the three healthcare services. For antenatal care, the household wealth index contributed to 20.7 per cent of

the urban-rural difference, 18.5 per cent by exposure to media, 16.3 per cent by childbirth order, and 15.2 per cent by maternal and husband/partner education.

For the outcome place of delivery, household wealth index contributed to 34.3 per cent of the urban-rural difference, 19.2 per cent by mother's education, 10.6 per cent by media exposure, 7.1 per cent by childbirth order, and 5.6 per cent by husband/partners education. For professional assistance at delivery, household wealth index contributed to 54.4 per cent of the urban-rural difference, 17 per cent by maternal education, media exposure contributed to 5.3 per cent, childbirth order contributed to 4.1, and husband/partners education contributed to 3.5 per cent of the difference.

Discussion

This study measured the inequality in maternal health care services utilisation using pooled data from 27 Sub-Saharan Africa countries. The urban–rural difference in maternal healthcare service and its contributing factors was determined using a non-linear extension of the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition technique. We found that there is a significant difference in maternal health care use between urban and rural areas. The level of maternal healthcare services utilisation in the rural area was far lower than that of the urban area. This finding is consistent with most past studies in this area [14, 34–37].

Health care facilities in rural regions of Sub-Saharan Africa are typically in a poor state, which may contribute to the low utilization of maternal healthcare services [38]. It is challenging to find competent healthcare personnel in rural regions, even when the facilities are accessible. Low motivation discourages qualified healthcare workers from working in remote areas [39, 40]. Health care facilities in rural areas are usually located centrally, making it difficult for many women in such areas to access them easily, thereby causing a low use of such services.

In the decomposition analysis, household wealth index, exposure to media, educational level for mothers and their partner, and childbirth order contributed the most towards explaining the urban–rural disparity in maternal health care use. Except for childbirth order, all of the other top contributing factors are socio-economic variables, which shows that rural areas' socio-economic conditions help widen the urban–rural gap in maternal healthcare utilisation. Similar findings have been reported in other developing countries [41].

According to our findings, the most significant urbanrural divide exists in the usage of place of delivery. Women from rural regions prefer to give birth at home or other centers rather than a health institution. This discovery aligns with earlier studies [42, 43]. In some cases, Samuel et al. Reprod Health (2021) 18:216 Page 7 of 12

Table 2 Descriptive characteristics of women utilizing maternal healthcare by urban–rural status

	Antenatal		Delivery place		Health professional gave delivery care	
	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
Maternal age group	(155.74)	(43.26)	(88.01)	(449.85)	(75.17)	(315.38)
15–19	5.4	7.3	6.6	8.2	6.7	8.1
20–24	22.3	23.1	22.9	24.2	23.0	23.6
25–29	28.5	25.4	27.6	24.5	27.5	24.7
30–34	22.0	20.0	21.2	19.7	21.1	19.6
35+	21.8	24.2	21.7	23.5	21.8	23.9
Maternal age at first marriage	(761.79)	(885.80)	(1694.82)	(5324.80)	(1063.46)	(3579.47)
Never married	10.7	7.1	11.3	7.6	11.1	6.9
<18	29.3	43.9	31.4	43.0	32.8	45.6
18–24	45.0	42.0	44.9	42.8	44.3	41.4
25+	14.9	7.0	12.3	6.5	11.9	6.1
Number children ever born	(665.69)	(636.00)	(1900.39)	(1268.34)	(2713.18)	
1	29.2	21.1	27.5	22.5	26.7	20.9
2	24.0	18.6	23.1	18.5	22.8	17.9
3	17.4	16.1	17.3	15.5	17.2	15.5
4	11.4	13.0	12.1	12.7	12.2	12.8
5+	17.9	31.2	20.0	30.9	21.1	33.0
Wanted pregnancy	(156.11)	(16.20)	(112.70)	(177.58)	(1923.60)	55.0
Then	73.8	73.8	70.1	69.8	70.1	71.1
Later	20.8	19.9	23.4	22.9	23.3	21.8
No more	5.4	6.3	6.5	7.3	6.5	7.1
Current method of family planning	(260.44)	(1404.73)	(1891.19)	(7897.62)	(1452.98)	(5774.34)
None	58.8	65.6	59.2	64.8	60.5	67.6
Modern	35.8	31.4	35.8	31.7	34.7	29.2
Traditional	4.9	2.5	4.5	3.1	4.3	2.8
Others	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4
Child's birth order	(698.52)	(689.13)	(2062.46)	(4447.23)	(1366.42)	(2865.13)
First	29.2	21.1	27.5	22.5	26.7	20.9
Second	24.0	18.6	23.1	18.5	22.8	17.9
Third	17.4	16.1	17.3	15.5	17.2	15.5
Fourth	11.4	13.0	12.1	12.7	12.2	12.8
Fifth	7.2	10.2	7.8	10.0	8.0	10.3
Higher	10.7	21.0	12.2	20.9	13.1	22.6
Length of preceding birth	(518.19)	(758.19)	(1518.38)	(4326.72)	(964.93)	(2671.16)
First birth	29.2	21.1	27.5	22.5	26.7	20.9
< 24 months	9.5	11.0	10.7	11.2	11.2	12.4
24–59 months			44.4			54.1
	43.2 18.1	54.1 13.8	17.4	53.1 13.3	45.2 17.0	12.6
60+ months						
Religion	(43.58)	(1212.86)	(1248.58)	(9316.70)	(1190.36)	(7567.68)
Muslim	27.8	24.3	26.0	21.6	26.7	24.4
Christian	62.2	65.7	62.8	67.8	62.2	64.9
Others	10.0	10.0	11.2	10.6	11.1	10.6
Woman's current marital status	(12.68)	(113.78)	(111.24)	(1367.92)	(45.45)	(703.64)
Never married	10.7	7.1	11.3	7.6	11.1	6.9
Married or living together	81.4	85.7	80.5	84.6	80.7	85.7
Formerly in union	7.9	7.2	8.2	7.7	8.3	7.4
Marital or cohabitation duration	(260.28)	(363.89)	(778.98)	(3228.56)	(549.64)	(2096.15)

Samuel et al. Reprod Health (2021) 18:216 Page 8 of 12

 Table 2 (continued)

	Antenatal		Delivery place		Health professional gave delivery care	
	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
Never married	10.7	7.1	11.3	7.6	11.1	6.9
0 to 4	26.9	22.0	25.6	22.9	25.1	21.8
5 to 9	26.6	24.6	25.8	24.4	25.8	24.5
10 to 14	17.4	19.6	17.7	19.0	17.9	19.6
15+	18.4	26.7	19.5	26.0	20.1	27.1
Sex of household head	(8.63)	(83.99)	(30.78)	(816.25)	(4.09)	(328.84)
Male	74.6	79.0	74.9	78.2	75.2	79.5
Female	25.4	21.0	25.1	21.8	24.8	20.5
Age of husband/partner	(126.10)	(102.8)	(165.41)	(2234.46)	(187.22)	(1771.45)
15–24	4.9	7.6	6.0	8.9	6.1	8.4
25–29	17.7	18.5	18.5	19.7	18.5	19.1
30–34	23.7	21.1	22.9	21.0	22.7	20.7
35+	53.8	52.8	52.6	50.4	52.8	51.8
Partner's educational level	(1126.33)	(1604.19)	(2976.98)	(11,536.30)	(2303.29)	(8857.36)
No education	16.5	32.0	16.6	28.5	17.6	31.9
Primary	20.1	35.9	22.8	39.8	23.2	38.5
Secondary	44.8	27.9	45.4	27.8	44.8	26.1
,	18.6	4.3	15.1	3.8	14.4	3.5
Higher Number of other wives		4.5 (404.55)	(840.49)	(3491.84)	(488.97)	(2670.86)
Never married	(111.38)					
	10.7	7.1	11.3	7.6	11.1	6.9
No other wives	77.7	73.9	76.9	74.4	76.5	73.9
Husband has other wives	11.5	19.0	11.7	17.9	12.4	19.2
Type of toilet facility	(1173.38)	(764.54)	(1849.67)	(9169.66)	(1190.89)	(3463.61)
No facility	9.4	31.9	9.4	26.4	10.4	31.5
Flush toilet	37.8	5.3	31.9	4.4	31.3	4.0
Pit toilet latrine	51.1	61.8	56.9	68.4	56.5	63.6
Others	1.6	0.9	1.8	0.8	1.8	0.8
The primary source of drinking water	(653.98)	(550.26)	(2909.73)	(2331.64)	(2133.14)	(1512.59)
Piped water	62.2	21.0	61.9	20.9	60.3	19.5
Well water	23.9	50.8	24.5	50.2	25.7	51.4
Surface water	5.4	26.0	6.7	26.9	7.1	27.3
Other sources	8.5	2.1	6.9	2.0	6.9	1.9
Woman's Highest educational level	(1354.01)	(2050.50)	(4380.38)	(15,386.76)	(3522.65)	(12,317.41)
No education	19.2	37.0	19.4	33.2	20.6	36.8
Primary	25.3	39.4	28.4	43.3	29.1	42.0
Secondary	43.5	21.5	42.9	21.6	41.6	19.6
Higher	11.9	2.1	9.2	1.9	8.7	1.7
Currently working	(49.09)	(177.76)	(70.63)	(1704.62)	(10.50)	(723.42)
No	36.7	33.0	37.8	31.8	38.3	33.9
Yes	63.3	67.0	62.2	68.2	61.7	66.1
Household wealth index	(745.27)	(612.77)	(5318.88)	(5788.88)	(5889.99)	(8922.42)
Poor	11.5	56.0	12.1	52.4	13.1	52.7
Middle	10.0	17.2	10.1	19.6	10.2	19.3
Rich	78.5	26.8	77.8	27.9	76.7	28.0
Media Exposure	(837.52)	(1202.37)	(2027.92)	(4245.84)	(1450.63)	(2248.54)
Unexposed	25.4	55.6	28.9	56.7	30.2	59.6
Exposed	74.6	44.4	71.1	43.3	69.8	40.4

Samuel et al. Reprod Health (2021) 18:216 Page 9 of 12

Table 2 (continued)

	Antenatal		Delivery place		Health professional gave delivery care	
	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
Final say on woman's health care	(85.96)	(710.59)	(636.74)	(4133.76)	(734.67)	(4534.15)
Woman alone	15.5	13.9	15.4	13.8	15.4	13.7
Woman and husband/partner	49.8	47.3	49.5	48.0	49.2	47.0
Husband/partner	34.3	38.1	34.5	37.5	34.9	38.7
Someone else	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.6

Figures in parentheses are Chi-square values; all values were significant at p < 0.1 level of significance

Table 3 Decomposition of the measured maternal healthcare services

	Antenatal care	Place of delivery	Professional assistance at delivery
Proportion Urban	0.347	0.832	0.898
Proportion Rural	0.224	0.582	0.689
Differences in proportion (Urban–Rural)	0.122	0.250	0.209
Total proportion explained	0.093	0.194	0.172
Percentage explained	76.3	77.6	82.4

Table 4 Effect and contribution of each predictor variable in the urban–rural difference in utilisation of maternal healthcare service in Sub-Saharan Africa

Covariates	Antenatal care		Place of delivery		Professional assistance at delivery	
	Coefficients	%Contribution	Coefficients	%Contribution	Coefficients	%Contribution
Mothers age	-0.002***	-1.7	0.001***	0.5	0.001***	0.4
Age at first marriage	0.002***	1.8	0.006***	3.2	0.004***	2.5
Number of children	0.004*	4.4	0.001**	0.8	0.001*	0.6
Wanted child	-0.001***	-0.6	0.002***	1	0.002***	1.1
Family planning method	0.004***	4.3	0.009***	4.8	0.007***	4.2
Childbirth order	0.015***	16	0.014***	7.1	0.007***	4
Birth interval	< 0.001***	-0.5	-0.001***	-0.4	< 0.001***	-0.2
Religion	< 0.001***	0.3	0.001***	0.6	0.002***	1.1
Marital Status	0.001***	0.6	< 0.001***	0.2	< 0.001**	0
Duration of marriage	-0.001	-0.8	-0.001**	-0.7	-0.001***	-0.6
Sex of head of household	0.001***	0.6	0.002***	0.8	< 0.001*	0.1
Husband's age	< 0.001***	0.3	0.001***	0.3	0.001***	0.5
Husband's educational level	0.013***	14.3	0.013***	6.9	0.005***	3
Husband's wives	0.002***	2.3	0.005***	2.7	0.003***	1.9
Toilet type	-0.002***	-1.8	0.009***	4.8	-0.001**	-0.4
Source of drinking water	0.004***	4.6	0.008***	4.1	0.005***	3.1
Mothers educational level	0.014***	15.1	0.037***	19.1	0.029***	17.1
Work status	< 0.001***	-0.4	-0.002***	-1.1	< 0.001***	-0.2
Wealth index	0.019***	20.2	0.072***	37	0.092***	53.3
Exposure to media	0.018***	19.2	0.019***	9.6	0.01***	5.7
Decision on woman's health	0.001***	1.2	0.002***	1.1	0.004***	2.1
Country	0.001***	0.7	-0.005***	-2.5	0.001***	0.6

Level of significance: *p < 0.10, **p < 0.05, ***p < 0.01

Samuel et al. Reprod Health (2021) 18:216 Page 10 of 12

these health facilities are not within a distance that can easily be accessible by women in the rural areas, and the cost of transportation, which most of the women cannot afford, makes them prefer to give birth at home. In most cases, delivery at health care facilities in rural areas is free. Still, there is not enough sensitisation on that. Governments make most of their effort on media where women in rural areas are not mostly exposed to or are not educated enough to understand the message.

Professional health workers such as doctors, nurses, and auxiliary nurses are in high demand, even in urban areas [44]. Therefore, it will take much motivation and incentives to make these health professionals available in rural areas; this shed some light on the urban-rural difference in the use of professional assistance at delivery. The poor socio-economic condition of women in rural areas means most of them cannot afford to go to where they can be assisted by health professionals when giving birth. Indeed, they cannot get them available when delivering at home as most healthcare professionals are situated in urban areas.

The WHO guideline for antenatal care was utilized in this study. After gestation, it is expected that a woman will have at least four antenatal care appointments, with the first visit occurring during the first trimester of her pregnancy [45]. This WHO recommendation is hardly met even by women in a rural area in Sub-Saharan Africa, explaining why the urban-rural difference was the least among the three measured maternal health care services. Even when these antenatal care services are free for women in rural areas, the cost of transportation to these services means that many women in rural areas cannot afford to meet the recommendation [46]. The lack of exposure to media and low educational level, even of their husbands or partners, means they cannot afford the necessary Information to make them see the need for such services.

Strengths and limitations

This study's major strength is that it uses nationally representative data from various Sub-Saharan Africa countries, making the region's findings generalisable. Another strength of the study is the non-linear decomposition technique used, making it possible to quantify the effect of the factors identified in the urban-rural gap, unlike other previous studies that only identify the factors responsible for the disparity.

However, a significant drawback is that the study's outcomes are based on a mother's subjective report. In as much as we used the most recent births, we cannot completely rule out bias in reporting by the mothers. Another drawback of the study is the inability to

establish a causal relationship, given that the study used cross-sectional data for analysis and the independent factors are related with the outcome of interest.

Conclusions

This study analysed the urban-rural differences in maternal health care services utilisation using nationally representative Demographic Health Survey data from 27 Sub-Saharan countries. The Fairlie decomposition technique, an extension of the popular Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition method, helped us identify the factors that cause this urban-rural gap and quantify their impact in widening the urban-rural gap in maternal healthcare utilisation.

The study found a substantial disparity between rural and urban areas in the use of antenatal care, health facility for delivery, and health professional assistance at delivery to the rural areas' disadvantage. Socioeconomic factors mostly explain the disparity; mothers from a household with a rich wealth index are more likely to be found in urban areas and are more likely to use maternal healthcare services. Higher educational and media exposure are characteristics of urban women, making them more likely to use maternal healthcare services than rural women.

The continuation of substantial rural-urban gaps in maternal healthcare services utilisation reflects the inability of social and health strategies to achieve sustained improvement in health for all demographic groups. Overall, to reduce the urban–rural disparity in the use of maternal health services in Sub-Saharan Africa, policy interventions must take into account factors such as economic status, level of education, and media exposure.

Abbreviations

DHS: Demographic Health Survey; WHO: World Health Organisation.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

OS acquired the data, performed the analysis, and drafted the manuscript. OS, TZ, and DN designed the research problem. All authors worked together in discussing the results and appropriate implications of the result. All authors contributed to the design of the manuscript. All authors contributed extensively to work presented in this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The dataset supporting the conclusions of this article is available in the IDHS repository. https://www.idhsdata.org/idhs-action/menu.

Samuel et al. Reprod Health (2021) 18:216 Page 11 of 12

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We performed analyses using data from the DHS open to the public. The respondents gave informed consent to engage in the study before each interview. The DHS complies with requirements for the protection of the privacy of respondents. Therefore, no further permission for the study was needed by us as the data was secondary and publicly accessible. Additional Information about the data and ethical practices are provided at https://dhsprogram.com/methodology/Protecting-the-Privacy-of-DHS-Survey-Respondents.cfm.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 10 June 2021 Accepted: 19 October 2021 Published online: 30 October 2021

References

- United Nations. Sustainable Development Goals. (cited 2020 30th April); Goal 3: ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages. https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/health/.
- UNICEF. Maternal mortality; 2019. https://data.unicef.org/topic/maternal-health/maternal-mortality/.
- Organization WH. Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2017: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and the United Nations Population Division: executive summary. World Health Organization; 2019.
- Tey N-P, Lai S-L. Correlates of and barriers to the utilization of health services for delivery in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. Sci World J. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/423403
- McTavish S, et al. National female literacy, individual socio-economic status, and maternal health care use in sub-Saharan Africa. Social Sci Med. 2010;71(11):1958–63.
- Magadi MA, Agwanda AO, Obare FO. A comparative analysis of the use of maternal health services between teenagers and older mothers in sub-Saharan Africa: evidence from Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS). Social Sci Med. 2007;64(6):1311–25.
- Alam N, et al. Inequalities in maternal health care utilization in sub-Saharan African countries: a multiyear and multi-country analysis. PloS one. 2015;10(4):e0120922.
- 8. Konje ET, et al. Late initiation and low utilization of postnatal care services among women in the rural setting in Northwest Tanzania: a community-based study using a mixed method approach. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021;21(1):1–12.
- Goli S, et al. Decomposing the socioeconomic inequality in utilization of maternal health care services in selected countries of South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. J Biosoc Sci. 2018;50(6):749–69.
- Price N, Pokharel D. Using key informant monitoring in safe motherhood programming in Nepal. Dev Pract. 2005;15(2):151–64.
- Goli S, Jaleel AC. What is the cause of the decline in maternal mortality in India? Evidence from time series and cross-sectional analyses. J Biosoc Sci. 2014;46(3):351.
- Birmeta K, Dibaba Y, Woldeyohannes D. Determinants of maternal health care utilization in Holeta town, central Ethiopia. BMC Health Serv Res. 2013;13(1):1–10.
- Hajizadeh M, Alam N, Nandi A. Social inequalities in the utilization of maternal care in Bangladesh: Have they widened or narrowed in recent years? Int J Equity Health. 2014;13(1):1–11.
- Adjiwanou V, LeGrand T. Gender inequality and the use of maternal healthcare services in rural sub-Saharan Africa. Health Place. 2014;29:67–78.
- Doctor HV, Nkhana-Salimu S, Abdulsalam-Anibilowo M. Health facility delivery in sub-Saharan Africa: successes, challenges, and implications for the 2030 development agenda. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):1–12.

- Langa N, Bhatta T. The rural-urban divide in Tanzania: Residential context and socioeconomic inequalities in maternal health care utilization. Plos one. 2020;15(11):e0241746.
- 17. Shibre G, et al. Socioeconomic and geographic variations in antenatal care coverage in Angola: further analysis of the 2015 demographic and health survey. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1):1–10.
- Mishra PS, Pautunthang N, Marbaniang SP. Geographical divide led inequality in accessing maternal healthcare services between hills and valley regions of Manipur state, India. Clin Epidemiol Global Health. 2021;11:100744
- Mishra PS, Veerapandian K, Choudhary PK. Impact of socio-economic inequity in access to maternal health benefits in India: evidence from Janani Suraksha Yojana using NFHS data. Plos One. 2021;16(3):e0247935.
- Atahigwa C, et al. Trends and determinants of health facility childbirth service utilization among mothers in urban slums of Nairobi, Kenya. Glob Epidemiol. 2020;2:100029.
- Tesema GA, Gezie LD, Nigatu SG. Spatial distribution of stillbirth and associated factors in Ethiopia: a spatial and multilevel analysis. BMJ Open. 2020;10(10):e034562.
- Arroyave L, et al. Inequalities in antenatal care coverage and quality: an analysis from 63 low and middle-income countries using the ANCq content-qualified coverage indicator. Int J Equity Health. 2021;20(1):1–10.
- Adde KS, Dickson KS, Amu H. Prevalence and determinants of the place of delivery among reproductive age women in sub–Saharan Africa. Plos One. 2020;15(12):e0244875.
- Oduse S, Zewotir T, North D. The impact of antenatal care on under-five mortality in Ethiopia: a difference-in-differences analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2021;21(1):1–9.
- 25. Alvarez AMR, et al. Enablers and barriers of maternal and neonatal immunization programs in Latin America. Vaccine. 2021;39:B34–43.
- Ahinkorah BO, et al. Determinants of antenatal care and skilled birth attendance services utilization among childbearing women in Guinea: evidence from the 2018 Guinea Demographic and Health Survey data. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2021;21(1):1–11.
- Yaya S, et al. Inequalities in maternal health care utilization in Benin: a population based cross-sectional study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2018;18(1):1–9.
- Yaya S. Wealth status, health insurance, and maternal health care utilization in Africa: evidence from Gabon. BioMed Res Int. 2020. https://doi. org/10.1155/2020/4036830.
- 29. Fatema K, Lariscy JT. Mass media exposure and maternal healthcare utilization in South Asia. SSM-Popul Health. 2020;11:100614.
- Fairlie RW. The absence of the African-American owned business: an analysis of the dynamics of self-employment. J Labor Econ. 1999;17(1):80–108.
- 31. Fairlie RW. An extension of the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition technique to logit and probit models. J Econ Soc Meas. 2005;30(4):305–16.
- 32. Fairlie RW. Addressing path dependence and incorporating sample weights in the nonlinear Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition technique for logit, probit and other nonlinear models. Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research, Working Paper (17-013); 2017.
- 33. Jann B, Fairlie. Stata module to generate nonlinear decomposition of binary outcome differentials; 2006.
- Ali B, Chauhan S. Inequalities in the utilisation of maternal health care in rural India: evidences from National Family Health Survey III & IV. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1):1–13.
- 35. Rahman SA. Utilisation of primary health care services in rural Bangladesh: the population and provider perspectives. London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine; 2001.
- Atuoye KN, et al. Can she make it? Transportation barriers to accessing maternal and child health care services in rural Ghana. BMC Health Serv Res. 2015;15(1):1–10.
- Yaya S, et al. Maternal healthcare insurance ownership and service utilisation in Ghana: analysis of Ghana demographic and health survey. Plos One. 2019;14(4):e0214841.
- Faruk N, et al. Rural healthcare delivery in Sub-Saharan Africa: An ICTdriven approach. Int J Healthcare Inform Syst Inform. 2020;15(3):1–21.
- MacGregor RG, Zihindula G, Ross AJ. A rural scholarship model addressing the shortage of healthcare workers in rural areas: case study. South African Health Rev. 2018;2018(1):51–7.

Samuel et al. Reprod Health (2021) 18:216 Page 12 of 12

- Mbemba GIC, Gagnon M-P, Hamelin-Brabant L. Factors influencing recruitment and retention of healthcare workers in rural and remote areas in developed and developing countries: an overview. J Public Health Afr. 2016;7(2):765.
- 41. Mahabub-Ul-Anwar U, Rob, Talukder N. Inequalities in maternal health care utilization in rural Bangladesh. Int Q Community Health Educ. 2008;27(4):281–97.
- 42. Sialubanje C, et al. Reasons for home delivery and use of traditional birth attendants in rural Zambia: a qualitative study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2015;15(1):1–12.
- Pfeiffer C, Mwaipopo R. Delivering at home or in a health facility? healthseeking behaviour of women and the role of traditional birth attendants in Tanzania. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2013;13(1):1–10.
- 44. Dussault G, Franceschini MC. Not enough there, too many here: understanding geographical imbalances in the distribution of the health workforce. Hum Res Health. 2006;4(1):1–16.
- 45. World Health Organization. Standards for maternal and neonatal care; 2007. https://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/maternal_perinatal_health/a91272/en/#:~:text=The%20Standards%20for%20maternal%20and%20neonatal%20care%20are%20part%20of,childbirth%20and%20the%20postnatal%20period.
- Geleto A, et al. Barriers to access and utilization of emergency obstetric care at health facilities in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review of literature. Syst Rev. 2018;7(1):1–14.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

